

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,717	02/08/2006	Louis Robert Litwin	PU030177	4024	
24498 7590 11/20/2007 THOMSON LICENSING LLC			EXAMINER		
Two Independence Way			NGUYEN, QUANG N		
Suite 200 PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER	
,			2141		
		•		····	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/567,717	LITWIN, LOUIS ROBER	LITWIN, LOUIS ROBERT		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Quang N. Nguyen	2141			

	Quang N. Nguyen	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Noti wing replies: (1) an amendme otice of Appeal (with appeal fe	ce of Appeal. To avoid abant, affidavit, or other evidere) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the date se later than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE 706.07(f).	mailing date of the final rejecti N THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding ar shortened statutory period for rep er than three months after the mail	nount of the fee. The appropr ly originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extantional a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS	hui antania da da af filiana	buing will make a managal b	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (se ow);	e NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	_	, -,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		arate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.		→ will be entered and an example → will be entered → will be e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filin nd sufficient reasons why the a	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filingentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under try and was not earlier present	appeal and/or appellant fa ed. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims a	fter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the applica	ition in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		/
13. Other:		Nezuyes	
		Quang N. Nguyen	ΔΙΙ 2141

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended the claims by adding new limitations "to identify energy fluctuations without a wireless local area network baseband circuit being activated to process data" as recited in claims 1 and 16; and "detecting the presence of said wireless local area network by identifying energy fluctuations of a wireless local area network signal prior to performing carrier recovery" as recited in claim 9 that would require further searches and consideration.

Quang N. Nguyen

Patent Examiner - AU 2141